## OID/Evaluation of Instruction Program CLASS SUMMARY FOR ADVANCED TOPICS

Instructor:

JEWITT, D.C.

E&S SCI

Department:

Course: ADVANCED TOPICS 298 LEC 001 Type:

Enrollment: 7

Processed: 7 forms... 100.0% response rate

04-16-2013 11:42:01

1. Year in School		2. UCLA GPA		3. Expected	Grade	4. Requirement Fulfilled			
# valid resp	onses: 7	# valid responses: 6		# valid	responses: 7	# valid respon	nses: 7		
Freshman:	0.00 %	Below 2.0:	0.00 %	A:	85.71 %	Major:	71.43 %		
Sophomore:	0.00 %	2.0 - 2.49:	0.00 %	B:	0.00 %	Related Field:	0.00 %		
Junior:	0.00 %	2.5 - 2.99:	0.00 %	C:	0.00 %	G.E.:	0.00 %		
Senior:	0.00 %	3.0 - 3.49:	33.33 %	D:	0.00 %	None:	28.57 %		
Graduate:	100.00 %	3.5 +:	50.00 %	F:	0.00 %				
Other:	0.00 %	Not Established	16.67 %	P:	<b>0,00 %</b>				
				NP:	0.00 %				
				?:	14.29 %				

ID: 303858846

ID: 578590200

Questions		Not Low		Medium					High	No	No			Std	
		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	Rsp	Rsp	Mean	Mdn	Dev
5. The instructor was concerned about student learning.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	4	0	7	8.29	9.00	1.0
6. Class presentations were well prepared and organized.	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	3	0	7	8.17	8.50	1.0
7. Students felt welcome in seeking help.	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	3	0	7	7.83	8.50	1.6
8. The instructor had good communication skills	0	0	0	0	Ω	0.	0	0	2	5	0	7	8.71	9.00	0.5
9. You have learned something you consider valuable.	0	0	0	0	Ó	0	0	2	2	3	0	7	8.14	8,00	0.9
10. Your overall rating of the instructor.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	4	0	7	8.57	9.00	0.5
11. Your overall rating of the course.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	3	0	7	8.14	8.00	0.9
12. Nonstandard Question.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	0	0.00	0.00	0.0
13. Nonstandard Question.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	0	0.00	0.00	0.0
14. Nonstandard Question.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	0	0.00	0.00	0.0
15. Nonstandard Question.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	0	0.00	0.00	0.0

Course Characteristics	Not Appl	Low	Medium	High	#No Resp	· #Val Resp
16. Subject Interest before Course	0	0	2	5	o	7
17. Subject Interest after Course	0	0	2	5	0	7
18. Mastery of Course Material	1	0	4	2	. 0	7
19. Difficulty (Relative to Other Courses)	1	4	1	0	1	6
20. Workload/Pace Was	1	0	6	0	0	7
21. Texts, Required Readings	6	0	1	0 .	0	7
22. Homework Assignments	5	0	1	1	0	7
23. Graded Materials, Examinations	6	0	1	· 0	0	7
24. Lecture Presentations	2	0	2	3	0	7
25. Class Discussions	1	0	0	6	0	7

I really enjoyed our discussions about colleguium speakers / their talles. Working out these kinds It problems in class has given me a lot more confidence in my approach to OOM-type problems. I almost wish we had been able to meet more/do more problems. I strink that he writigs peer editing you mentioned at the beginning of the quarter would also be very useful to us, perhaps as a different class if oom's is for preexam students, perhaps your writing course could be for post-exam students Thanks for a great learning experience!

Really enjoyed this course. I think I am significently better e estimating and nating first order quantitative evaluations. Now that I have completed the course. would like to also note that the colloquium evaluation was helpful. No other dass in this dept a includes significant instruction on how to teach/present. Although I appeciate the light workload, I wild have been present think I would have benefitted from a reading list. I think the in-class problems could be more meningful if they were tied to literature from the profis field, or ther members of the UCLA dept. That said, I'd highly recomend this educational experience.

Exceptent course.

I only wish we had it twice a week!

Prof Jewitt made this course interesting by the way be teaches and the way he set up this class. You get to think of and some for question you encountered is your daily life. By having others working problems out on the board seemed a little intimateting at first, but Prof Jewitt makes it a good bearing atmosphere that I felt more comfortable doing so so the quester goes on!

I did not expected to have such a useful class was worth to take it.

I liked to give scores to Ess collocurum everyweek

Thunks for the insights into thinking outside the box to solve everyday & Scientific problems. Just a little bit of knowledge and a few calculations can create insight/instinct where there was none before. I wish this could be a yearround group neeting because I feel I could use much more practice. Thanks ID