Instructor: JEWITT, D.C.   ID: 303858846
Department: E&S SCI
Course: PLANETARY ORIGINS 200E   ID: 578009200
Type: LEC 001
Enrollment: 5

Processed: 8 forms... 160.0% response rate
01-08-2014 04:49:27

1. Year in School # valid responses: 6		2. UCLA GPA # valid responses: 5		3. Expected Grade # valid responses: 5		4. Requirement Fulfilled # valid responses: 6	
Freshman:	0.00 %	Below 2.0:	0.00 %	A:	40.00 %	Major:	33.33 %
Sophomore:	0.00 %	2.0 - 2.49:	0.00 %	B:	0.00 %	Related Field:	33.33 %
Junior:	16.67 %	2.5 - 2.99:	0.00 %	C:	0.00 %	G.E.:	0.00 %
Senior:	16.67 %	3.0 - 3.49:	0.00 %	D:	0.00 %	None:	33.33 %
Graduate:	66.67 %	3.5 +:	60.00 %	F:	0.00 %		
Other:	0.00 %	Not Established	40.00 %	P:	0.00 %		
				NP:	0.00 %		
				?:	60.00 %		

Questions	Not Appl	Low 1	2	3	4	Medium 5	6	7	8	High 9	No Rsp	Rsp	Mean	Mdn	Std Dev
5. The instructor was concerned about student learning.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	4	2	6	8.50	9.00	0.8
6. Class presentations were well prepared and organized.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	3	2	6	8.33	8.50	0.8
7. Students felt welcome in seeking help.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	3	2	6	8.33	8.50	0.8
8. The instructor had good communication skills	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	4	2	6	8.67	9.00	0.5
9. You have learned something you consider valuable.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5	2	6	8.83	9.00	0.4
10. Your overall rating of the instructor.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	4	2	6	8.67	9.00	0.5
11. Your overall rating of the course.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	4	2	6	8.67	9.00	0.5
12. Nonstandard Question.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	0	0.00	0.00	0.0
13. Nonstandard Question.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	0	0.00	0.00	0.0
14. Nonstandard Question.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	0	0.00	0.00	0.0
15. Nonstandard Question.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	0	0.00	0.00	0.0

Course Characteristics	Not Appl	Low	Medium	High	#No Resp	#Val Resp
16. Subject Interest before Course	0	0	1	5	2	6
17. Subject Interest after Course	0	0	0	6	2	6
18. Mastery of Course Material	0	0	1	4	3	5
19. Difficulty (Relative to Other Courses)	0	0	5	0	3	5
20. Workload/Pace Was	0	1	4	0	3	5
21. Texts, Required Readings	3	0	2	0	3	5
22. Homework Assignments	0	0	3	2	3	5
23. Graded Materials, Examinations	3	0	2	0	3	5
24. Lecture Presentations	0	0	0	5	3	5
25. Class Discussions	2	0	3	0	3	5

Pros

Dave Jewitt
- knows material → is very clear in lectures
- rigorously scientific
- could be a god in disguise
- generally uh-mazing.
- better for you than chicken soup
- a more complete protein than quinoa
- cooler than the love child of Luke Skywalker and Kim Possible.

Cons

- class war too short.
- Dave is so smart I feel like I'm a chicken scratching at the ground when doing homework.

THANK YOU. Your assistance in improving teaching is appreciated.

Prof. Jewitt was amazing! He was definitely one of the best lecturer/professors I've had during my time @ UCLA. He presented ESS200E in a way where the overall picture was more important than small conceptual details. He was able to cover a lot of course material/concepts likewise. The physics involved was not rigorous (maybe a little more physics would have been good) — definitely a different feel compared to most Astronomy courses. He was very helpful outside of class & very welcoming to those seeking office hours. Homeworks reinforced course ideas... but more homework assignments would have been good to cover more lecture materials. Overall, it was a fantastic course! Thank you!!

PLEASE IDENTIFY WHAT YOU PERCEIVE TO BE THE REAL STRENGTHS AND WEAKNESSES OF THIS INSTRUCTOR AND COURSE.

Writing equations and concepts on the board helped pace the class correctly and ensure that students could follow the lectures. Instructor worked to make sure students understood concepts.

THANK YOU. Your assistance in improving teaching is appreciated.

The structure of the lectures, filled with examples to gain a more intuitive understanding, proved to be much more useful than typical lectures. I maintained a grasp of the material, where I would normally lose it without excessive additional reading. His lectures plus some minor supplements left me feeling as though I have retained something valueable, which I've come to discover is not very common. He's reminded me how powerful a good instructor can be.

I also enjoyed the regular anecdotes to break any monotony

PLEASE IDENTIFY WHAT YOU PERCEIVE TO BE THE REAL STRENGTHS AND WEAKNESSES OF THIS INSTRUCTOR AND COURSE.

Instructor is very helpful and the course materials are very interesting = ~~there~~ topics are covered without going into too much details

~~Class size is sma~~

The only weakness is that ~~there~~ ~~a~~ there is no handouts / powerpoint, therefore if a lecture is missed you will have to copy out from ~~comor~~ classmates

↳ perhaps a ~~pro~~ handout on topics covered can be hand out?

THANK YOU. Your assistance in improving teaching is appreciated.

Wish there would have been text/readings to aid lectures. Also wish there had been more problem sets to to force me to really think about the concepts.

THANK YOU. Your assistance in improving teaching is appreciated.

- CLASS WAS A VERY GOOD OVERVIEW OF TOPICS

- IT WAS VERY HELPFUL THAT HE HAD DERIVATIONS BASED ON INTUITIONS OF HOW THE SOLUTION SHOULD DEPEND ON CERTAIN PROPERTIES

- HE REMOVED ANY UNNECESSARY COMPLEXITY TO GET AT BASICS OF PROBLEM

THANK YOU. Your assistance in improving teaching is appreciated.